

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,271	1	0/13/2000	KENJI MIZUTANI	ERDP001	1202
20178	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
21331112		I AND DEVELOP OPERTY DEPT	VU, NGOC K		
		RKWAY, SUITE 22	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95134				2611	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/687,271	MIZUTANI, KENJI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ngoc K. Vu	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejet plus within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	April 2005.						
	his action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
	nending in the application						
) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-22,27,30-38 and 44-60</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38 and 44-60</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-17,21,22,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected.							
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,18-20, 27 and 30-35</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>	ents have been received.						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
AMa alian au Mai							
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, A) []	(DTO 440)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 18/13/00.	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -					

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

 Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that the term "devise" in line 12 is typographical error. Please change the term "devise" to "device".
 Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 7 and 10-17 are indefinite because there is no antecedent basis for the limitation "said video encoded data file" in each claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 21, 22, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franco (US 20020046407 A1) in view of Asada (US 6,885,809 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Franco discloses a remote video recording and retrieve system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/687,271

Art Unit: 2611

a first computing device (remotely programmable video recording device/system) having access to a tuner (504), to a computer network (computer network 116), and to a video recording mechanism (video recording and replay module) (see figures 1, 5, 10 and [0089]-[0091]; and

a second computing device (user device) having access to said first computing device (remotely programmable video recording device/system) via said computer network (116) (see figures 1 and 10), and having access to a first data store (removable media, e.g., disc) remote from said first computing device (it is noted that the remotely programmable video recording device/system is configured to include a storage, e.g., a removable media drive such that the recorded content can be transferred onto removable media for use elsewhere. For example, the removable media, e.g., disc, can later be used at the user device, e.g., computer. From this view, the removable media is located remotely from the remotely programmable video recording device/system (see figures 1 and 10; [0153]), said second computing device being effective for submitting recording instructions (sending programming instruction 118 to the remotely programmable video recording device/system via the computer network 116) for recording a televised program (see [0064], [0065], [0085] and [0088] and figures 1 and 10);

said first computing device being effective for accessing and recording said televised program via said tuner and said recording mechanism (see [0091]), said first computing device being further effective for transferring a video encoded data version of said televised program to said first data store (the remotely programmable video recording device/system records an encoded video of television broadcast program on removable media – see [0153]-[0154]).

Franco does not specifically disclose the first computing device being effective for encoding a video signal into at least one predetermined video data encoding format. However, Asada teaches that a recording/playback device comprises an encoder for encoding video and

Application/Control Number: 09/687,271

Art Unit: 2611

audio signals from a tuner into compression-encoded data to be recorded onto a DVD RAM, and wherein the compression-encoding of video is performed in accordance with MPEG2 (see col. 15, lines 61-64; col. 16, lines 31-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Franco by including compression-encoding video and audio signals in MPEG2 format at the recording/playback device to record the compressed-encoded data onto the DVD RAM as taught by Franco in order to effectively maximize space in a storage or removable media for storing data.

Claim 2 calls for the recorded program on the removable media can be retrieved for playback (see Franco: [0153]).

Regarding claim 3, Franco teaches the computer network 116 is the Internet (see [0063]).

Regarding claim **7**, Franco discloses the remotely programmable video recording device/system deletes content least recently viewed or recorded (see [0074], [0093], [0075]).

Regarding claim **8**, Franco teaches that the removable media, e.g., disc, can later be used at the user device, e.g., computer. From this view, the removable media is located remotely from the remotely programmable video recording device/system (see figures 1 and 10; [0153]).

Regarding claim **21**, Franco teaches providing a verification logon sequence for the user device prior to permitting access (see figure 2A, [0068], [0069], [0083]).

Regarding claim 22, Franco teaches the removable media is located remotely from the remotely programmable video recording device/system records broadcast content in accordance with the programming data, which may be one or more VCR-Plus codes. It is further noted that base upon the VCR-Plus code, the device/system determines the appropriate time

and channel to record and records the broadcast content identified by the code (see [0006], [0106], [0081], [0092] and [0096]).

Regarding claim 36, Franco shows a web site through which the remotely programmable video recording device/system can be programmed including authorization verification prior to accepting any recording instruction from the user, displaying a list of scheduled televised program available for recording, e.g., TV guide online on web site, and making selection from listing causes the host system to transmit programming data to instruct the remotely programmable video recording device/system from the user device (see figures 2A-2C and [0068]-[0069]).

Regarding claim **37**, Franco discloses that the user device is charged a service fee for every recording session (see [0116], [0125], [0127], [0153]).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 4, 5 and 10-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 9, 18-20, 27 and 30-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 38 and 44-60 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art, Franco (US 20020046407 A1) and Asada (US 6,885,809 B1) teaches a media recording apparatus and encoding feature, respectively. Specifically, Franco teaches instructing a recording device via computer network for recording broadcast content.

Asada teaches that a recording device can perform encoding video and audio signals into

Art Unit: 2611

compression-encoded data to be recorded onto a storage. Franco and Asada, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the limitations "storing the resultant encoded data file in said data store, and transmitting said data file via said network access node to a predetermined destination on the Internet" obvious as recited in claim 38, and "using said second computing device to transmit said encoded digital representation to a predetermined destination via said computer network; and accessing said encoded digital representation from said predetermined destination location" as recited in claim 46.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hesselink et al. (US 6,732,158 B1) disclose a VCR webification system.

Terakado et al. (US 6,802,076 B1) disclose a method and apparatus of an electric transmission medium.

Haroun et al. (US 5,787,259 A) disclose digital interconnects of a PC with consumer electronics devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,271

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ngolin

Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

June 7, 2005